

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,096	10/22/2003	Michael Neil Lindenbaum		4780
7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
Michael Neil Lindenbaum 2375 South Cook Street			FREJD, RUSSELL WARREN	
Denver, CO 80210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2128	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/691,096	LINDENBAUM, MICHAEL NEIL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Russell Frejd	2128			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	ctober 2003				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	·			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	=	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	ill Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	, ,			

Serial Number: 10/691,096 Page 1

In re Application of: Lindenbaum

#### Examination of Application #10/691,096

1. Claim 1 of application 10/691,096, filed on 22-October-2003, is presented for examination.

## Claim Objections under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1)

2. Claim 1 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1), wherein --

The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a))

Claim 1 is not written in proper claim format, as a continuous sentence with a single period at the end of the claim. Also, the claim is narrative in scope.

### Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

3. Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being vague and indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is replete with terms and phrases that render the claim language that fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, such as: launches [step 1], clicks [step 2], uses [step 5], observes [step 7], and moves around [step 8]. These are just a few of, in some cases, multiple instances where the terms and phrases are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph.

Serial Number: 10/691,096 Page 2

In re Application of: Lindenbaum

#### Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4.1 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The invention claims (claim 1 preamble), "A method of creating a computer model comprising the steps of:".
- 4.2 MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(ii) provides that a statutory computer process is determined not by how the computer performs the process, but by what the computer does to achieve a practical application with a useful, concrete and tangible result. For example, a computer process that simply calculates a mathematical algorithm that models noise is nonstatutory, while a claimed process for digitally filtering noise employing the mathematical algorithm is statutory. The long line of cases in this area that are referred to in MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(b)(ii) exemplify this requirement, by utilizing in the claim language, terms such as controlling, executing, changing and removing. In view of the aforementioned requirement and the interim guidelines for 101 eligibility, the Examiner respectfully contends that the claim language of independent claim 1 does not claim a practical application, that language claiming:

launching (emphasis added) a software program on a computing device [step 1];
launching a dialog box [step 2];
populating the program with a length measurement [step 3];
populating the program with a height measurement [step 4];

populating the program with a thickness measurement [step 5];

Serial Number: 10/691,096 Page 3

In re Application of: Lindenbaum

"launching" a dialog box in the program [step 6];

observing that the program is correct [step 7];

launching dialog boxes in the program and populating them with measurements [step 8];

and, repeating the above steps until the entire structure has been measured [step 9].

4.3 For at least these reasons, the Examiner respectfully posits that the claims of the

present invention do not meet the criteria for a statutory process. Accordingly, the claims are

determined to be a program per se, consisting of software modules that implement the method

of creating a computer model, whereby the method does not manipulate appropriate subject

matter, and thus cannot constitute a statutory process (MPEP Section 2106(IV)(B)(2)(c)).

#### Allowed Claims

5. Claim1 is deemed allowable over the prior art of record at this time, pending resolution of any rejections noted above.

#### Response Guidelines

6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

6.1 Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be

directed to: Russell Freid, telephone number (571) 272-3779, Monday-Friday

from 0530 to 1400 ET, or the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah, telephone number (571) 272-2279. Inquires of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100

Group Receptionist (571) 272-2100.

mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Serial Number: 10/691,096

In re Application of: Lindenbaum

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to: (571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

Date: 27-May-2006

RUSSELL FREJD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Russen FREJA